

Attorney for Defendant Michael Avenatti

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NUMBER
PLAINTIFF	SA-CR-19-61-JVS
V.	
MICHAEL AVENATTI	
DEFENDANT.	APPLICATION FOR REVIEW/RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER SETTING CONDITIONS OF RELEASE/DETENTION (18 U.S.C. §3142) AND REQUEST FOR HEARING

Application is made by ☐ plaintiff ☒ defendant Michael Avenatti
that a hearing be held to review /reconsider the decision of

☐ United States District Judge _____ by order dated: _____

☒ Magistrate Judge J. Early _____ by order dated: _____

- ☐ denying release and imposing detention under subsection ☐ (d) or ☐ (e) of Title 18 U.S.C. §3142; or
☒ ordering release upon certain conditions, or
☐ denying detention.

This application is made ☒ pre-sentence ☐ post-sentence based on the following facts not previously considered by
said judicial officer or changed circumstances as follows:
Please see attached.

Relief sought (be specific):

Travel conditions modified to allow travel in the continental U.S. with notice to Pre-Trial Services 24 hours in advance.
Mirrors the bond restrictions on Southern District of New York cases.

Counsel for the defendant and plaintiff United States Government consulted on June 3, 2019
and opposing counsel declines to stipulate to an order providing the relief sought.

☒ E-mail notice given to ☒ AUSA ☐ Defendant's Counsel ☒ PSA ☐ Interpreter ☐ USM ☐ Probation
on 6-6-19

An interpreter is ☐ required ☒ not required. Language _____
Defendant is ☐ in custody ☒ not in custody.

June 8, 2019
Date 6

H. Dean Steward
Moving Party

1 Supplement to Application for Review
2 of Order Setting Conditions
3 U.S. v. Michael Avenatti
4 SA-CR-19-61-JVS

5 **I. Introduction**

6 The defense here merely seeks a minor modification of Mr. Avenatti's travel
7 conditions relating to the matter pending in this district. In addition to the necessity,
8 the modification will bring bail conditions in this case in line with those of two cases
9 pending in the Southern District of New York ("SDNY"), the location of Mr.
10 Avenatti's arrest and first appearance on March 25, 2019. The United States
11 Attorney's Office of SDNY recently stipulated to these modified conditions, which
12 were subsequently approved by two different judges in the Southern District on May
13 28, 2019.

14 In particular, the defense requests that Mr. Avenatti's travel no longer require
15 the pre-approval of the United States Attorney's Office in this district and Pre-Trial
16 Services as initially required, but rather that Mr. Avenatti's travel be "restricted to
17 SDNY/EDNY/CACD with domestic travel with prior notification with PTS." This is
18 the exact condition that that the Department of Justice agreed to last week in *U.S. v.*
19 *Michael Avenatti* CR-19-374-DAB, docket #5, p. 5 of 8, SDNY, and in the pending
20 *Nike* matter.

1 Pre-Trial Services does not object to the change, but inexplicably, the U.S.
2 Attorney's Office in this district opposes the change¹. They do so despite the fact that
3 (1) Pre-Trial Services has no objection and (2) their colleagues with the Department of
4 Justice in New York and two federal judges in the Southern District all recently
5 approved the change.²
6

7 **II. Changed Circumstance**

8 Defendant recently retained lead defense counsel in the *Nike* matter pending in
9 the SDNY, Messrs. Jose Quinon and Scott Srebnick, who are located in Miami,
10 Florida. Mr. Avenatti is actively assisting his attorneys in preparing the *Nike* matter
11 for trial and needs to meet and confer with his counsel in that case on a regular basis.
12
13
14
15
16

17 ¹ Contacted by e-mail June 3, 2019.

18 ² The alleged rationale for the objection by the U.S. Attorney's Office here lacks all
19 merit. In meet and confer correspondence, they argue against the modification based
20 on an alleged claim that (a) Mr. Avenatti poses a "financial danger" to the community
21 and (b) may seek to tamper with witnesses or obstruct justice. Putting aside the fact
22 that neither of the two claims has much support, if any, the government cannot
23 reasonably show that modifying Mr. Avenatti's travel conditions will have any
24 tendency to heighten either of these two claims or make them more likely to occur.
25 Indeed, Mr. Avenatti can conduct financial transactions just as easily in Los Angeles,
26 if not more so, than he can in other cities. Moreover, the alleged concern relating to
27 "witness tampering" is based on a Tweet that Mr. Avenatti sent six weeks ago in his
28 defense in response to an LA Times article, which the government or their close agent
leaked and caused to be published, in which the name of an alleged victim was
disclosed (not by Mr. Avenatti but by the government or their agent). In any event,
Mr. Avenatti subsequently deleted the tweet and Pre-Trial Services found no violation
of his bail conditions. More importantly for the purposes of this request, however, the
Court will note that Mr. Avenatti's ability to "tweet" bears no relationship to whether
he is located in Los Angeles or travelling in some other city.

1 In addition, Mr. Avenatti remains an active attorney with clients and business
2 interests located throughout the United States, including in Chicago, Atlanta, Kansas
3 City, Michigan, and Florida. Most recently, within the last three weeks, the Supreme
4 Court of Florida granted review in a matter in which Mr. Avenatti has served as
5 counsel since May 2005 (fourteen years), which Mr. Avenatti successfully tried to
6 verdict in 2014 and subsequently argued on appeal.
7

8
9 Mr. Avenatti is also presently assisting with multiple state and federal criminal
10 investigations/prosecutions being led out of New York, Chicago, and Palm Beach,
11 Florida. These investigations/prosecutions include alleged crimes for rape, sexual
12 assault, sex trafficking of minors and child pornography and have become extremely
13 active since Mr. Avenatti's bail conditions were originally set on March 25. It is
14 important that Mr. Avenatti be permitted to travel throughout the United States in
15 order to assist prosecutors and investigators in these matters.
16

17 **III. Mr. Avenatti is Not a Flight Risk**

18

19
20 There can be no legitimate argument that Mr. Avenatti, who until late March
21 had never been charged with a single crime in his life, is a flight risk and therefore
22 should have his travel restricted. Indeed, each of the following facts demonstrate that
23 Mr. Avenatti is not a flight risk nor can the government legitimately claim he is a flight
24 risk:
25

26
27 1. Mr. Avenatti's family established roots in the Central District beginning
28 in approximately 1905, 114 years ago.

1 2. Mr. Avenatti has been a continuous resident of the Central District for the
2 last 19 years. He presently has three minor children who reside in Newport Beach.
3

4 3. Mr. Avenatti has practiced law in California for 19 years with no prior
5 disciplinary action before recently, with his principal law office located in the Central
6 District.
7

8 4. The arrest warrant for Mr. Avenatti issued out of this district on Friday,
9 March 22. Government agents were in the gallery watching his testimony in a debtor's
10 exam both prior to and after the arrest warrant was issued that day, with the scheduled
11 testimony taking place in Federal District Court in downtown Los Angeles. The
12 government then permitted Mr. Avenatti to remain free all weekend and to fly to New
13 York on Sunday night with both of his passports (U.S. and Italy) in his possession.
14 They did not arrest him until Monday in New York, after which prosecutors from this
15 district held a press conference in *New York* for maximum media attention. Had the
16 government truly viewed Mr. Avenatti as a flight risk, they would have arrest him in
17 Los Angeles on Friday while he was in the federal courthouse for the entire day.
18
19

20 5. Mr. Avenatti had access to his Italian passport for approximately 60 hours
21 after his release in New York on March 25. He subsequently flew to Los Angeles,
22 where he surrendered the passport in Orange County.
23
24

25 6. Mr. Avenatti has voluntarily appeared in this district since being granted
26 bail for four separate court hearings across the last eight weeks.
27
28

1 7. Mr. Avenatti voluntarily flew to New York and surrendered last Tuesday
2 morning to the FBI in connection with the new indictment.
3

4 8. Mr. Avenatti voluntarily appeared at two hearings last Tuesday in New
5 York after he was released on bail.

6 9. Mr. Avenatti has enjoyed an excellent relationship with his Pre-Trial
7 Services officer and has not violated the conditions of his release since his arrest on
8 March 25, 2019.
9

10 10. Mr. Avenatti has been travelling for work throughout the country (with
11 approval) without incident for the last nine weeks.
12

13 11. Mr. Avenatti is very well known, having given more TV interviews in the
14 United States in 2018 than any other individual. Simply put, it would be incredibly
15 difficult for him to flee due to his notoriety and the fact that he is easily recognizable.
16

17 Moreover, the government cannot reasonably claim that Mr. Avenatti's ability to
18 flee would be heightened were he be permitted to travel more freely because the
19 current travel conditions already permit Mr. Avenatti free access to two major
20 international points of entry/exit – New York and Los Angeles.
21

22 **IV. Pre-Trial Services Does Not Object to the Requested Modification**
23

24 On May 30, 2019, the undersigned counsel contacted Pre-Trial Services officer
25 Shakira Davis in Los Angeles by e-mail, explaining the requested modification above.
26 Officer Davis responded that her office has no objection.
27
28 /

1 **V. Conclusion**

2 The requested modification is a routine, almost ministerial change that is
3
4 normally stipulated to in this district. The requested modification is reasonable in light
5 of both the changed circumstances and the over-all facts of the case and defendant's
6 background. Defendant, therefore, respectfully requests that the Court
7
8 modify the travel condition as requested.

9 Dated: June 5, 2019

/s./ H. Dean Steward

H. Dean Steward

Counsel for Defendant

Michael Avenatti

1 CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

2
3 IT IS HEREBY CERTIFIED THAT:

4 I, H. Dean Steward, am a citizen of the United States, and am at least 18 years of age.

5
6 My business address is 107 Avenida Miramar, Ste. C, San Clemente, CA 92672.

7 I am not a party to the above entitled action. I have caused, on 6-5-19 service of
8 the defendants: ~~#~~ 6619

9
10 **Application for review of order Setting Bail Conditions**

11 On the following parties electronically by e-mail, because the case is wholly under
12 seal:

13
14 **AUSA's Brett Sagal & Julian Andre**

15
16 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

17
18 Executed on 6-5-19

19 H. Dean Steward

20 H. Dean Steward
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28